

A New Formalism for the Spectrum of Inflationary Curvature Perturbations

Shuichiro Yokoyama

Kyoto University, Japan



with T. Tanaka (Kyoto Univ.), M. Sasaki (YITP), & E. D. Stewart(KAIST)



- Introduction
- Wronskian Formalism
- Extended General Slow-roll Formula
 - Long wavelength approx. and General slow-roll approx.
 - Matching two approximations
- Summary



Precision Cosmology - Observational side -

Thanks to recent advances in observational technologies, We can determine, or constrain possible models / theories of the early universe.

• the first year WMAP data (2003)



- The universe is flat.
- The spectrum of the primordial perturbation is almost scale-invariant.

······ and more

Standard Inflation - Big Bang model is supported.



Precision Cosmology - Observational side -

Thanks to recent advances in observational technologies, We can determine, or constrain possible models / theories of the early universe.

• the first year WMAP data (2003)





1. Introduction - -

• Particle Cosmology - Theoretical side -

SUSY / string theories — Many scalar fields (dilaton, moduli,)

→ Various models of multi-component inflation are proposed.

It is important to extend the formula for the spectrum of the curvature perturbations to multi-component inflation. $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}_c}(k) = \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} |\mathcal{R}_c|^2$



1. Introduction -

Particle Cosmology - Theoretical side -

SUSY / string theories — Many scalar fields (dilaton, moduli,)

→ Various models of multi-component inflation are proposed.

It is important to extend the formula for the spectrum of the curvature perturbations to multi-component inflation. $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}_c}(k) = \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} |\mathcal{R}_c|^2$

However, for multi-component inflation, the formulation is more complicated in comparison with single-component.

In the D-component scalar field inflation, the perturbation equations are D coupled second order differential equations.

$$\left\{\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + A(t)\frac{d}{dt} + B(t) + k^2\right\}\delta\phi^a = C^a{}_b(t)\delta\phi^b$$

It is a heavy task to solve the full set of these perturbation equations.



Basic idea

- •What we need is only the final value of the curvature perturbation on comoving hypersurfaces, $\mathcal{R}_{c_{\text{fin}}}$, on the super-horizon scales.
- ♦We do not need to know the evolution of all components of the multi-component field.
- If we can identify the part of the perturbations that contributes to $\mathcal{R}_{c_{\text{fin}}}$, we may solve only that part without solving the full set of perturbation equations.



We propose a formulation which is obtained with use of a Wronskian.





Basic equations - D-component scalar field -

•background equations

$$3\mathcal{H}^2 \equiv 3(a'/a)^2 = \frac{1}{2}\phi'^2 + a^2 V(\phi)$$

$$\phi^{a\prime\prime} + 2\mathcal{H}\phi^{a\prime} + a^2 V_{,\phi^a} = 0$$

 $V' \equiv d/d\eta$ conformal time $V_{,\phi^a} \equiv \partial V/\partial \phi^a$

perturbations

We define a gauge invariant variable; $\delta \phi_{\rm F}^a \equiv \delta \phi^a - \frac{\phi^{a\prime}}{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{R}_{\rm intrinsic curvature perturbation}$





Basic equations - D-component scalar field -

background equations $3\mathcal{H}^2 \equiv 3(a'/a)^2 = \frac{1}{2}\phi'^2 + a^2 V(\phi)$ $\equiv d/d\eta$ conformal time $\phi^{a\prime\prime} + 2\mathcal{H}\phi^{a\prime} + a^2 V_{.\phi^a} = 0$ $V_{,\phi^a} \equiv \partial V / \partial \phi^a$ perturbations We define a gauge invariant variable; $\delta \phi_{\rm F}^{a} \equiv \delta \phi^{a} - \frac{\phi^{a'}}{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{R}_{\rm intrinsic curvature perturbation}$ the scalar field perturbation on the flat slicing scalar field perturbation The evolution equation for $\delta \phi^a_{_{ m F}}$ is given by $arphi^a \equiv a \delta \phi^a_{_{ m F}}$ $\left\{ (d/d\eta)^2 - (\mathcal{H}^2 + \mathcal{H}') + k^2 \right\} \varphi^a = \left\{ \frac{1}{a^2} \left(\frac{a^2 \phi^{a'} \phi^{c'}}{\mathcal{H}} \right)' \delta_{cb} - a^2 V^{,\phi^a}_{,\phi^b} \right\} \varphi^b \right\} \left| \mathbf{-(\#)}$



Wronskian

The equation, (#) is an equation which formally takes the form of

$$\left\{ (rac{d}{d\eta})^2 + Q(\eta) + k^2
ight\} arphi^a = P^a{}_b(\eta) arphi^b$$
 - (##)

We introduce a Wronskian as

$$W(\varphi, n) \equiv \underline{\varphi \cdot n'} - \varphi' \cdot n \qquad \qquad \varphi \cdot n \equiv \delta_{ab} \varphi^a n^b$$

which has the property;

 $W(\varphi, n) = \text{const.}$

if arphi, n are solutions of the perturbation equation, (##) .



• Defining the boundary conditions





- The advantage of this formulation
 - $W(\varphi, n) = \text{const.}$ \longrightarrow This Wronskian can be evaluated at any time.





The advantage of this formulation

• $W(\varphi, n) = \text{const.}$ This Wronskian can be evaluated at any time.



(Ref. Kobayashi and Tanaka (2005))





By using these approximations, we can evaluate the evolution of mode function to a large extent to analytically.







We consider the matching by using the constancy of the Wronskian.

 \blacksquare If we know both $arphi^a\,$ and $n^a\,$ at $\eta=\eta_*\,$, what we need to do is simply to compute the Wronskian, W there.



ullet To obtain $arphi^a$ and $\, n^a\,$ at $\eta = \eta_*$, physical scale n^a : in the backward direction by using long wavelength approx. φ^{a} : in the forward direction by using general slow-roll approx. • n^a ullet In long wavelength approx., we can find solution for n^a in the series expansion with respect to $\,k^2$ as $ightarrow \ln a$ $\eta_{\rm con}$ $n^{a} = n^{a(0)} + \delta n^{a(1)} + \dots + \delta n^{a(q)} + \dots$ $\delta n^{a(q)} = \mathcal{O}(k^{2q})$ We also introduce $\left| n^{a(q)} \equiv n^{a(0)} + \sum_{i=1}^{q} \delta n^{a(i)}, \right|$ $\left\{\left(\frac{d^{2}}{dn^{2}}+Q(\eta)+k^{2}\right)\delta^{a}{}_{b}-P^{a}{}_{b}\right\}n^{b(q)}=k^{2}\delta n^{b(q)}$



• In the general slow-roll approx., we consider that $P^a{}_b$ is small.

$$\left\{ (\frac{d}{d\eta})^2 + Q(\eta) + k^2 \right\} \varphi^a = P^a{}_b(\eta) \varphi^b$$
 - (##)

In an expansion with respect to $P^a{}_b$, we can write φ^a as

$$\begin{split} \varphi^{a} &= \varphi_{0}^{a} + \Delta \varphi_{1}^{a} + \dots + \Delta \varphi_{p}^{a} + \dots \\ \Delta \varphi_{p}^{a} &= \mathcal{O}(\underline{\mathbf{P}^{p}}) \\ \text{o introduce} \quad \left\{ \varphi_{p}^{a} \equiv \varphi_{0}^{a} + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \Delta \varphi_{i}^{a}, \\ \left\{ \left(\frac{d^{2}}{dn^{2}} + Q(\eta) + k^{2} \right) \delta^{a}_{b} - P^{a}_{b} \right\} \varphi_{p}^{b} = -P^{a}_{b} \Delta \varphi_{p}^{b} \end{split} \end{split}$$

We als

The first order correction is obtained as

$$\begin{split} \Delta \varphi^{a}(\eta) &= \underline{\Pi}(\eta) \Big[\int_{-\infty}^{\eta_{*}} d\eta' P_{b}^{a}(\eta') \varphi_{0}^{b}(\eta') \Big\{ \underline{u_{0}}(\eta) u_{0}^{*}(\eta') - u_{0}(\eta') u_{0}^{*}(\eta) \Big\} \Big] \\ \Pi(\eta) &\equiv W(u_{0}^{*}, u_{0})^{-1}, \quad \left(\frac{d^{2}}{d\eta^{2}} + Q(\eta) + k^{2} \right) u_{0} = 0 \end{split}$$



• Results ~ Power Spectrum ~

To the first order in ${f P}$ and to the q-th order in k^2 , $\langle |{\cal R}_{c_{
m fin}}|^2
angle$ be evaluated as

$$\langle |\mathcal{R}_{c_{\text{fin}}}|^2 \rangle_1^{(q)} = \left[|\mathbf{\Phi}|^2 + 4i\Pi \int_{-\infty}^{\eta_*} d\eta' \left\{ \left(\operatorname{Im}[u_0^*(\eta')\mathbf{\Phi}] \cdot \mathbf{P}(\eta')\operatorname{Re}[u_0^*(\eta')\mathbf{\Phi}] \right) - \theta(\eta - \eta_k) \left(\operatorname{Im}[u_0^*(\eta')\mathbf{\Phi}] \cdot \mathbf{P}(\eta')\operatorname{Re}[u_0^*(\eta')\mathbf{\Phi}] \right) \right\} \right]^{(q)}$$

$$\mathbf{\Phi} \equiv \left[W(u_0, \mathbf{n}) \right]_{\eta_k}, \mathbf{n} \equiv n^a$$





Results ~ Power Spectrum ~

To the first order in ${f P}$ and to the q-th order in k^2 , $\,\langle|{\cal R}_{c_{
m fin}}|^2
angle$ be evaluated as

$$\langle |\mathcal{R}_{c_{\text{fin}}}|^2 \rangle_1^{(q)} = \left[|\Phi|^2 + 4i \Pi \int_{-\infty}^{\eta_*} d\eta' \left\{ \left(\text{Im}[u_0^*(\eta')\Phi] \cdot \mathbf{P}(\eta')\text{Re}[u_0^*(\eta')\Phi] \right) \right\}^{(q)} \\ \theta(\eta - \eta_k) \left(\text{Im}[u_0^*(\eta')\Phi] \cdot \mathbf{P}(\eta')\text{Re}[u_0^*(\eta')\Phi] \right) \right\}^{(q)} \\ \Theta(\eta - \eta_k) \left(\text{Im}[u_0^*(\eta')\Phi] \cdot \mathbf{P}(\eta')\text{Re}[u_0^*(\eta')\Phi] \right) \\ \Theta(\eta - \eta_k) \left(\text{Im}[u_0^*(\eta')\Phi] \cdot \mathbf{P}(\eta')\text{Re}[u_0^*(\eta')\Phi] \right) \right\}^{(q)} \\ \Theta(\eta - \eta_k) \left(\text{Im}[u_0^*(\eta')\Phi] \cdot \mathbf{P}(\eta')\text{Re}[u_0^*(\eta')\Phi] \right) \\ \Theta(\eta - \eta_k) \left(\text{Im}[u_0^*(\eta')\Phi] \cdot \mathbf{P}(\eta')\text{Re}[u_0^*(\eta')\Phi] \right) \\ \Theta(\eta - \eta_k) \left(\text{Im}[u_0^*(\eta')\Phi] \cdot \mathbf{P}(\eta')\text{Re}[u_0^*(\eta')\Phi] \right) \\ \Theta(\eta - \eta_k) \left(\text{Im}[u_0^*(\eta')\Phi] \cdot \mathbf{P}(\eta')\text{Re}[u_0^*(\eta')\Phi] \right) \\ \Theta(\eta - \eta_k) \left(\text{Im}[u_0^*(\eta')\Phi] \cdot \mathbf{P}(\eta')\text{Re}[u_0^*(\eta')\Phi] \right) \\ \Theta(\eta - \eta_k) \left(\text{Im}[u_0^*(\eta')\Phi] \cdot \mathbf{P}(\eta')\text{Re}[u_0^*(\eta')\Phi] \right) \\ \Theta(\eta - \eta_k) \left(\text{Im}[u_0^*(\eta')\Phi] \cdot \mathbf{P}(\eta')\text{Re}[u_0^*(\eta')\Phi] \right) \\ \Theta(\eta - \eta_k) \left(\text{Im}[u_0^*(\eta')\Phi] \cdot \mathbf{P}(\eta')\text{Re}[u_0^*(\eta')\Phi] \right) \\ \Theta(\eta - \eta_k) \left(\text{Im}[u_0^*(\eta')\Phi] \cdot \mathbf{P}(\eta')\text{Re}[u_0^*(\eta')\Phi] \right) \\ \Theta(\eta - \eta_k) \left(\text{Im}[u_0^*(\eta')\Phi] \cdot \mathbf{P}(\eta')\text{Re}[u_0^*(\eta')\Phi] \right) \\ \Theta(\eta - \eta_k) \left(\text{Im}[u_0^*(\eta')\Phi] \cdot \mathbf{P}(\eta')\text{Re}[u_0^*(\eta')\Phi] \right) \\ \Theta(\eta - \eta_k) \left(\text{Im}[u_0^*(\eta')\Phi] \cdot \mathbf{P}(\eta')\text{Re}[u_0^*(\eta')\Phi] \right) \\ \Theta(\eta - \eta_k) \left(\text{Im}[u_0^*(\eta')\Phi] \cdot \mathbf{P}(\eta')\text{Re}[u_0^*(\eta')\Phi] \right) \\ \Theta(\eta - \eta_k) \left(\text{Im}[u_0^*(\eta')\Phi] \cdot \mathbf{P}(\eta')\text{Re}[u_0^*(\eta')\Phi] \right) \\ \Theta(\eta - \eta_k) \left(\text{Im}[u_0^*(\eta')\Phi] \cdot \mathbf{P}(\eta')\text{Re}[u_0^*(\eta')\Phi] \right) \\ \Theta(\eta - \eta_k) \left(\text{Im}[u_0^*(\eta')\Phi] \cdot \mathbf{P}(\eta')\text{Re}[u_0^*(\eta')\Phi] \right) \\ \Theta(\eta - \eta_k) \left(\text{Im}[u_0^*(\eta')\Phi] \cdot \mathbf{P}(\eta')\text{Re}[u_0^*(\eta')\Phi] \right) \\ \Theta(\eta - \eta_k) \left(\text{Im}[u_0^*(\eta')\Phi] \cdot \mathbf{P}(\eta')\text{Re}[u_0^*(\eta')\Phi] \right) \\ \Theta(\eta - \eta_k) \left(\text{Im}[u_0^*(\eta')\Phi] \right) \\ \Theta(\eta - \eta$$

 \diamond The extension to the higher order in ${f P}$ is rather straight forward in our formulation.



- We have proposed a new formulation for systematic derivation of formulas for the spectrum of curvature perturbation from multi-component inflation.
 - We proposed the formulation using the Wronskian which is constant in time. Using this formulation, we can evaluate the final value of curvature perturbations without solving the full set of perturbation equations.
 - As an example to show the efficiency of this new approach, we have shown an extended general slow-roll formula. In this formula, we took into account the merit of the long wavelength approximation.

In the past work (ex. Stewart(2002), Cho et al. (2004)), the general slow-roll conditions are assumed to be satisfied until the time when inflation ends, while here it is assumed to be so until the time shortly after the horizon crossing time.